

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CURTIS J. WILLIAMS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0164 DFL PAN P

12 vs.

13 R. W. SANDHAM, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 On February 6 and 7, 2006, plaintiff filed three sets of discovery requests.  
17 Plaintiff is informed that court permission is not necessary for discovery requests and is again  
18 informed, see Order filed February 2, 2006, that neither discovery requests served on an  
19 opposing party nor that party's responses should be filed until such time as a party becomes  
20 dissatisfied with a response and seeks relief from the court pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil  
21 Procedure. Discovery requests between the parties shall not be filed with the court unless, and  
22 until, they are at issue.

23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's February 6, 2006 and  
24 February 7, 2006 discovery requests will be placed in the court file and disregarded. Plaintiff is  
25 cautioned that further filing of discovery requests or responses, except as required by rule of

26 /////

1 court, may result in an order of sanctions, including, but not limited to, a recommendation that  
2 this action be dismissed.

3 DATED: March 14, 2006.

4  
5   
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

13 <sup>12</sup>  
will0164.411  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26